

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH::AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No. W.A.No.547 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
	27.10.2025	<u>DEV,J & AHHS,J</u> As per the order of this Court, dated 06.10.2025, Mr Kumar Vishwajeet, Principal Secretary to Government, Home Department appeared in person. Sri Sambasiva Pratap, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents submitted that the report sought by this Court by order, dated 19.12.2024 has been filed before this Court on 10.10.2025. He would submit that there is some discrepancy in the order of this Court and to take steps to amend the same, the delay has been occurred in filing the report before this Court. However, he submits that now the report has been filed. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant also filed the objections against the report filed by the 1st respondent. The learned Additional Advocate General would submit that prior to the order of the learned	

Single Judge, dated 21.01.2022 in W.P.No.16770 of 2019, the State Government has formulated a policy on this aspect and in compliance of the order of the learned Single Judge, appropriate steps are being taken by the State Government to implement the directions issued by the Apex Court in ***National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India and others***¹.

On the other hand, Mr Solomon Raju, learned counsel for the appellant would submit that the State Government did not consider the Judgment of the Apex Court in proper perspective and no steps have been taken by the State Government like the Government of Karnataka to address the problems being faced by the transgender in public employment. He would submit that in the State of Karnataka as per the direction of the High Court of Karnataka, the State Government has provided special reservation to the transgender in public employment. The learned counsel submits that the issue of providing special reservation was not considered and examined by the State Government

¹ 2014 (5) SCC 438

of Andhra Pradesh by implementing the directions of the Hon'ble Apex Court in NALSA Judgment.

At this stage, learned Additional Advocate General requested time to place this issue before the State Government to examine the feasibility to provide special reservation to the transgender in the public employment taking into consideration the decision of the Government of Karnataka in a proper perspective.

In view of the importance of the issue involved in the present case, this Court expects that the State Government will come with a positive decision after examining the policy of the Karnataka Government to extend 1% horizontal reservation to the transgender in the public employment at an early date.

Accordingly, to enable the State Government to place its stand/decision on this aspect before this Court, post on 24.11.2025.

The Appearance of the Officer before this Court is hereby dispensed with.

		Delete from the caption 'for appearance'. TM/KS DEV, J AHHS,J	
--	--	---	--